Toward demonstrating controlled-X operation based on continuous-variable four-partite cluster states and quantum teleporters
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One-way quantum computation based on measurement and multipartite cluster entanglement offers the ability to perform a variety of unitary operations only through different choices of measurement bases. Here we present an experimental study toward demonstrating the controlled-X operation, a two-mode gate in which continuous variable (CV) four-partite cluster states of optical modes are utilized. Two quantum teleportation elements are used for achieving the gate operation of the quantum state transformation from input target and control states to output states. By means of the optical cluster state prepared off-line, the homodyne detection and electronic feeding forward, the information carried by the input control state is transformed to the output target state. The presented scheme of the controlled-X operation based on teleportation can be implemented nonlocally and deterministically. The distortion of the quantum information resulting from the imperfect cluster entanglement is estimated with the fidelity.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Developing faster and faster computers is a long process. Quantum computers (QC’s) based on the fundamental principles of quantum physics, such as coherent superposition and entanglement of quantum states, promise super-fast and powerful computation capacities for the future over present classical computers. In recent years, esoteric and attractive ideas about quantum computers were being converted into visible realization step by step along with experimental demonstrations of various quantum logic operations in both discrete variable (DV) and continuous variable (CV) domains [1–8]. Different models for quantum computation, typically the conventional circuit model and the so-called cluster model, were proposed and experimentally realized [9–18]. According to the cluster-state model initially proposed by Raussendorf and Briegel [15], the actual computation can be completed only by a sequence of single-qubit projective measurements with classical feed-forward of the measured results with the help of the special multipartite entanglement between individual subsystems of the cluster state prepared off-line. Due to the irreversibility of measurements the cluster-based QC is also inherently time-irreversible and thus is named the one-way QC. The most important feature of cluster QC’s is its universality (i.e., any quantum circuit can be implemented on a suitable cluster state [15]). Exploiting four-photon cluster states, the performances of one-way DV QC were experimentally demonstrated [16–18].

In 1999, Lloyd and Braunstein extended the quantum computation to the CV region and derived the necessary and sufficient conditions for achieving universal CV QC [19]. Successively, the CV QC with optical coherent states [20] and the encoding schemes for CV computation were proposed [21]. The scalable CV error correction routines used for CV QC’s were theoretically investigated [22] and experimentally demonstrated with CV multipartite entanglement of optical modes [23]. Based on off-line squeezed states and quantum nondemolition (QND) interaction between the quadrature components of two optical modes, Furusawa’s group realized the QND sum gate [7] in the CV QC circuit model proposed by Filip et al. [24]. Very recently, the same group achieved an experimental demonstration of the principles of a universal one-way quantum quadratic phase gate over CV’s in which a two-mode cluster state of light is involved [8]. In contrast to the generation systems of DV cluster states of single-photons, CV cluster states of optical modes can be prepared unconditionally and quantum computations with CV cluster can be performed deterministically [25,26]. Following the first theoretical proposal on universal QC with CV cluster states [27], various protocols of cluster CV QC’s for experimental implementation are studied in detail [28–30]. In experiments CV cluster states involving four optical modes were successfully prepared [31,32].

It was pointed out that the single-qubit and two-qubit gates used in teleportation are sufficient to construct even the most complex QC’s [33]. Generally, in QC’s quantum information is propagated via teleportation networks, thus quantum teleporters are key elements of building QC’s [34]. By the end of the last century quantum teleportation was experimentally realized with both DV [35–41] and CV [42–44] protocols. Later, the teleportation networks were achieved with single-photon multipartite polarization-entanglement [45] and multipartite quadrature-entanglement of optical modes [46], respectively. These successful experiments on teleportation provide the fundamental technology to construct QC’s.

In this article, we present an experimental study toward demonstrating the controlled-X operation, which is an analog of a two-qubit controlled-NOT gate in the CV regime [27]. This controlled-X operation (it is also called the sum gate) based on utilizing CV four-partite cluster states of optical modes is different from that achieved in Refs. [7,8]. The QND sum gate in Ref. [7] belongs to the typical circuit
implementing the one-way controlled-X operation, a two-mode gate using the linear four-partite cluster state of optical modes, homodyne detectors, and electronic feeding forward. In the operation system, two CV quantum teleportation elements are included, which are used for teleporting the information of the input target and control states to the output states. The experimental results show that the amplitude quadrature of the output target is displaced a certain amount, set by the input control state according to the requirement of the controlled-X operation. The measured variances of the quadratures of the output states in the case exploiting cluster quantum resources are about 1.8 dB below the shot noise limit (SNL) determined by the vacuum noise levels of coherent states without the existence of cluster entanglement. Based on the nonlocal and deterministic entanglement feature of CV cluster states the presented controlled-X operation can be also implemented nonlocally and deterministically. The construction of the experimental system for the controlled-X operation exhibits the key role of quantum teleportation in QC’s, obviously. The fidelities of the output target and control modes, which is necessary for further quantum information processing, was not observed in the present experiment. However, we provide a scalable experimental system and scheme toward achieving the controlled-X operation.

II. OPERATION PRINCIPLE

The Hamiltonian of the controlled-X operation can be written as \( \hat{H} = -\hat{X}_c \hat{Y}_t \), where \( \hat{X} = \hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger \) and \( \hat{Y} = (\hat{a} - \hat{a}^\dagger)/i \) are the amplitude and phase quadratures of an input optical mode \( \hat{a} \) and the subscripts \( c \) and \( t \) denote the control and target modes, respectively. The ideal input-output relation of the controlled-X operation are given by [19]

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{X}_{out}^c &= \hat{X}_c, & \hat{X}_{out}^t &= \hat{X}_t - \hat{X}_c, \\
\hat{Y}_{out}^c &= \hat{Y}_c + \hat{Y}_t, & \hat{Y}_{out}^t &= \hat{Y}_t.
\end{align*}
\]

Through the controlled-X operation the input control (target) variable \( \hat{X}_c^t (\hat{Y}_t) \) is added to the output target (control) variable \( \hat{X}_{out}^t (\hat{Y}_{out}^t) \), while \( \hat{X}_c (\hat{Y}_t) \) remains unchanged. The operation results in a phase-space displacement on the amplitude quadrature of the target by an amount determined by the position (amplitude) eigenvalue \( \hat{X}_c \) of the control and possibly establishes the quantum entanglement between the output target and control modes, which is just the aim of the controlled-X gate.

III. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

Figure 1 shows the schematic of the controlled-X operation with the four-partite linear CV cluster state of the optical field. The four submodes \( b_1 - b_4 \) of the cluster state are generated by linearly combining four quadrature-squeezed states of light produced from a pair of nondegenerate optical parametric amplifiers (NOPA’s) operating at deamplification and below the oscillation threshold [31]. Compared to our previous cluster generation system [31] only a slight change is made, that is, a 1 : 1 beamsplitter (BS1) in the old system is replaced by a 4 : 1 beamsplitter thus eliminating the effect of the antisqueezing component on the squeezing component to the largest extent [29,32]. The squeezed correlation variances of the cluster state are expressed by [29]

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{Y}_{b_1} - \hat{Y}_{b_2} &= \sqrt{2} e^{-r} \hat{Y}^{(0)}_{a_1}, \\
\hat{X}_{b_1} + \hat{X}_{b_2} + \hat{X}_{b_3} &= \frac{\sqrt{10}}{2} e^{-r} \hat{X}^{(0)}_{a_2} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} e^{-r} \hat{Y}^{(0)}_{a_1}, \\
-\hat{Y}_{b_2} + \hat{Y}_{b_1} + \hat{Y}_{b_3} &= -\frac{\sqrt{10}}{2} e^{-r} \hat{X}^{(0)}_{a_2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} e^{-r} \hat{Y}^{(0)}_{a_1}, \\
\hat{X}_{b_3} - \hat{X}_{b_2} &= -\sqrt{2} e^{-r} \hat{Y}^{(0)}_{a_1},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( r \) stands for the squeezing parameter of the squeezed states, which depends on the strength and the time of parametric interaction in NOPA. We assumed that \( r \) of the four squeezed states is identical, which is not difficult to reach experimentally by balancing the configuration and system parameter of NOPA’s. The values of \( r \) are between zero and positive infinite with \( r = 0 \) for no squeezing and \( r \rightarrow \infty \) for the ideal squeezing. However, the ideal squeezing limit cannot be achieved in experiments since it requires infinite energy. \( \hat{X}^{(0)}_{ai} \) and \( \hat{Y}^{(0)}_{ai} (i = 1 - 4) \) denote the quadrature amplitudes and phases of seed optical beams initially injected into NOPA’s, respectively [29,31]. The variances of the seed beams in coherent states are normalized, that is, \( V(\hat{X}^{(0)}_{ai}) = V(\hat{Y}^{(0)}_{ai}) = 1. \)
The submodes $b_2$, $b_3$ and $b_1$, $b_4$ are distributed to input (Alice) and output (Bob) of the controlled-X gate, respectively.

At Alice, submodes $b_2$ and $b_1$ are mixed with the target ($\hat{a}_t$) and the control ($\hat{a}_c$) signals on 1 : 1 beamsplitters BS1 and BS2, respectively. The amplitude and phase quadratures of the output target (control) modes $\hat{t}_1$ and $\hat{t}_2$ ($\hat{c}_1$ and $\hat{c}_2$) from BS1 (BS2) are detected by a pair of balanced homodyne detectors HD1 and HD2 (HD3 and HD4) actively locked to be 0 and 90° out of phase, respectively. The measured conjugate quadratures of optical modes are denoted by $\hat{X}_{11}$, $\hat{Y}_{11}$ and $\hat{X}_{1c}$, $\hat{Y}_{1c}$, respectively, for the target and the control. The measured photocurrents of $\hat{X}_{11}$ and $\hat{Y}_{11}$ of two Bell-state detections collapse both submodes $b_1$ and $b_2$ into a state conditioned on the measurement outcomes $\hat{X}_{11}$, $\hat{Y}_{11}$ and $\hat{X}_{1c}$, $\hat{Y}_{1c}$. The amplitude quadrature $\hat{X}_t^\text{out}$ ($\hat{X}_c^\text{out}$) and the phase quadrature $\hat{Y}_t^\text{out}$ ($\hat{Y}_c^\text{out}$) of the displaced outcome $b_1^\text{out}$ ($b_4^\text{out}$) are expressed by [29]

$$\hat{X}_t^\text{out} = \hat{X}_{b_1} + \sqrt{2}g\hat{X}_{b_2} + \sqrt{2}g\hat{X}_{b_3} + \sqrt{2}g\hat{X}_{b_4},$$

$$\hat{Y}_t^\text{out} = \hat{Y}_{b_1} - \sqrt{2}g\hat{Y}_{b_2} + \sqrt{2}g\hat{Y}_{b_3} - \sqrt{2}g\hat{Y}_{b_4},$$

$$\hat{X}_c^\text{out} = \hat{X}_{b_2} + \sqrt{2}g\hat{X}_{b_3} + \sqrt{2}g\hat{X}_{b_4},$$

$$\hat{Y}_c^\text{out} = \hat{Y}_{b_2} - \sqrt{2}g\hat{Y}_{b_3} + \sqrt{2}g\hat{Y}_{b_4}.$$

In cluster QC language the displacement operation is equivalent to feed-forward the measurement results of the submodes $b_2$ and $b_3$ on the remained submodes $b_1$ and $b_4$. In the experiment, the gain $g$ of all feed-forward circuits is carefully adjusted to 1, which corresponds to realizing a controlled-X operation in Eq. (1). It is obvious that from Eqs. (6) through (9) that, in the limit of infinite squeezing ($r \to \infty$), the amplitude quadrature of the output target, $\hat{X}_t^\text{out}$ was displaced an amount $\hat{X}_t$ determined by the amplitude of the input control field. It means that the ideal controlled-X operation was completed. For practical experiments with a finite value of $r$, some noise resulting from imperfect squeezing will be added on the $\hat{X}_t^\text{out}$, thus the fidelity of the outcome state will reduce. However, the fidelity using finite cluster entanglement of $r \neq 0$ will be higher than its classical limit, which is measured in the case without the existence of cluster state. To verify the performance of the controlled-X operation, the outcome values of $\hat{X}_t^\text{out}$, $\hat{Y}_t^\text{out}$ and $\hat{X}_c^\text{out}$, $\hat{Y}_c^\text{out}$ are detected by the homodyne detectors HD5 and HD6, respectively.

The cluster source comprises a master-pump laser, a pair of NOPA’s, and some linearly optical elements, which are not shown in Fig. 1 (see Ref. [31] for details). A continuous wave intracavity frequency-doubled and frequency-stabilized Nd:YAP/KTP (Nd-doped YAlO3 potassium titanyl phosphate) laser (made by Yuguang Co. Ltd., F-VIB) [47,48] serves as the master laser of the experimental system. The output second-harmonic wave at 540 nm is used for the pump laser of the two NOPA’s to produce two two-mode squeezed states at 1080 nm via intracavity frequency down-conversion. The four squeezed states are transformed to a linear four-particle cluster state with optical beam splitters [31]. In the system, all the optical beams at coherent states including the target signal ($\hat{a}_t$), the control signal ($\hat{a}_c$), LO1, LO2, and the local oscillation beams used in homodyne detectors (HD1-6) originate from the subharmonic output of the master laser at 1080 nm. During the experiment the pump power of the NOPA’s are kept at 175 mW, which is below the oscillation threshold of 230 mW and the intensity of the signals into NOPA’s at 1080 nm is 10 mW. When NOPA’s operates at deamplification (the pump light and the injected signals are π out of phase), the intensity of each submode of the obtained cluster state is about 30 μW. The power of the local oscillation beam in each HD is about 4 mW and the intensity of LO1 (LO2) is 54 μW. All the squeezed correlation variances of the cluster state measured under the previous conditions are about 3 dB below the corresponding SNL (the equivalent squeezing parameter $r$ equals to 0.35). The electronic gain $g$ in classical channels is carefully adjusted to 1.00 ± 0.05 according to the method described in Ref. [44].
IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To quantify the performance of the controlled-X operation, the noise variances of $\hat{X}_{\text{out}}^c(t)$ and $\hat{Y}_{\text{out}}^c(t)$ in Eqs. (6) through (9) are measured by HD5(6) and are recorded by a following spectrum analyzer (SA) with the resolution bandwidth of 30 kHz and video bandwidth of 100 Hz. The measured noise powers of $\hat{X}_{\text{out}}^c(t)$ and $\hat{Y}_{\text{out}}^c(t)$ remain at SNL [see Eq. (1)]. In case of infinite squeezing [(yellow) straight lines], the noise variances of $\hat{X}_{\text{out}}^c(t)$ and $\hat{Y}_{\text{out}}^c(t)$ are 3 dB above the SNL due to the effect of $\hat{X}_c(\hat{Y}_t)$, while noise variances of $\hat{X}_{\text{out}}^t$ and $\hat{Y}_{\text{out}}^t$ remain at SNL [see Eq. (1)]. The (red) 2 lines and the (blue) 3 lines illustrate the performance of the controlled-X operation without and with using the quantum entanglement of the cluster state, respectively. The (red) 2 lines are measured by replacing each cluster submode with a coherent light of identical intensity. The measured values of $\hat{X}_{\text{out}}^t$, $\hat{X}_{\text{out}}^c$, $\hat{Y}_{\text{out}}^t$, and $\hat{Y}_{\text{out}}^c$ are 6.95, 4.76, 4.77, and 6.93 dB above the SNL, respectively. The (blue) 3 lines with the cluster state are $\sim$1.8 dB below that without using the cluster [(red) 2 lines]. It means that the precision of the controlled-X operation increases about 1.8 dB with respect to its classical copies.

We use the fidelity formula $F = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\Delta + \delta - \sqrt{\delta}}} \exp[-\beta^T (\mathbf{A}_1 + \mathbf{A}_2)^{-1} \beta]$, which denotes the overlap between the experimental obtained output state $\hat{\rho}_2$ and ideal output state $\hat{\rho}_1$ to quantify the performance of controlled-X operation. The fidelity for two Gaussian states $\hat{\rho}_1$ and $\hat{\rho}_2$ with covariance matrices $\mathbf{A}_i$ and mean amplitudes $\alpha_i \equiv (\alpha_{iX}, \alpha_{iY}) (i = 1, 2)$ is expressed by [49,50]

$$F = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\Delta + \delta - \sqrt{\delta}}} \exp[-\beta^T (\mathbf{A}_1 + \mathbf{A}_2)^{-1} \beta],$$

where $\Delta = \det(\mathbf{A}_1 + \mathbf{A}_2)$, $\delta = (\det \mathbf{A}_1 - 1)(\det \mathbf{A}_2 - 1)$, $\beta = \alpha_2 - \alpha_1$, $\mathbf{A}_1$, and $\mathbf{A}_2$ for the ideal ($\hat{\rho}_1$) and experimental ($\hat{\rho}_2$) output states, respectively. For our case, the covariance matrices $\mathbf{A}_i (i = 1, 2)$ for the target mode are given by

$$\mathbf{A}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} V(X_t - X_c) & 0 \\ 0 & V(Y_t) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{A}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} V(X_{\text{out}}^t) & 0 \\ 0 & V(Y_{\text{out}}^t) \end{bmatrix}.$$  

FIG. 3. (Color online) Noise power of the quadratures with four different coherent input quadratures. Black (1) lines: noises of vacuum state; red (2) lines: output variances with cluster state; and green (3) lines: input variances.
Similarly, we can write out the covariance matrices for the control mode. In the case of infinite squeezing, both fidelities for the control and target states $F_c$ and $F_t$ equal 1, which can be calculated from Eqs. (6) through (9) with $r \rightarrow \infty$. Without using cluster resources ($r = 0$), the fidelity for both $a_t$ and $a_c$ states is 0.73. When the cluster states are used the obtained fidelities of $a_t$ and $a_c$ are both 0.87, which are about 0.14 better than those without using entanglement.

To simulate the performance of the controlled-$X$ gate under the coherent input signals with nonzero average intensity, we modulate the input $a_t$ and $a_c$, optical beams with amplitude and phase modulators at 2 MHz (not shown in Fig. 1). The coherent excitations of four different quadratures corresponding to four input states with individual modulation are investigated. The traces in Figs. 3(a), 3(b), 3(c), and 3(d) correspond to four different input states: (a) and (b) for the amplitude and phase modulation of the input target $a_t$ and (c) and (d) for the amplitude and phase modulation of the input control $a_c$, respectively. In the four different modulation models, the intensity of the imposed modulation signals is identical. The (black) 1 lines and the (green) 3 lines in Fig. 3 correspond to the SNL and the quadratures of the input signals, respectively. The quadratures of the outcome fields are shown with the (red) 2 lines. All coherent amplitudes of the input quadratures are 12 dB above the SNL due to the same modulation strength, which are measured by HD5 and HD6 in the case blocking the cluster beams. We can see from Figs. 3(b) and 3(c) that the quadrature $\hat{Y}_t (\hat{X}_c)$ of the input state is coupled into $\hat{Y}_{\text{out}}^c (\hat{X}_{\text{out}}^t)$ of the outcome state, while $\hat{Y}_t = \hat{Y}_{\text{out}}^c (\hat{X}_t = \hat{X}_{\text{out}}^t)$ is preserved. Figures 3(a) and 3(d) show that the quadratures $\hat{X}_t$ and $\hat{Y}_c$ of input states are not coupled to any output quadratures in which, however, the variances of the outcome quadratures are a little higher than those of the input states due to the effect of imperfect squeezing.

\section{V. Conclusion}

In conclusion, an experimental study toward demonstrating the CV controlled-$X$ logical operation based on four-partite cluster states of electro-magnetic fields is presented. In the experiment the information encoded in the input target and control states are teleported to the outcome states via quantum channels depending on multipartite cluster entanglement among quadrature components of optical modes and electronic classical channels. The one-way controlled-$X$ gate can be regarded as to be constructed by two CV quantum teleporters based on CV quantum entanglement among modes $\hat{b}_1, \hat{b}_2, \hat{b}_3$ and $\hat{b}_4, \hat{b}_5, \hat{b}_6$, respectively. The difference between these teleporters and the normal teleportation systems \cite{42-44} is that there are two input states (target and control) in these teleporters and both of their information is transmitted to the two output states simultaneously. To achieve the basic operation of one-way quantum computation the four-partite cluster entanglement plays irreplaceable roles. In CV QC’s, we have to establish entanglement between the output target and control modes for further quantum information processing. According to the theoretical calculation \cite{51} for achieving the entanglement of the two output states, the initial squeezing degree of cluster resources should be higher than $\sim 7$ dB. Although the entanglement between output states was not observed in the present experiment due to the absence of better cluster resources, the proof-of-principle experiment proves that the controlled-$X$ operation of one-way QC can be unconditionally demonstrated with the designed system if CV cluster states of higher entanglement are available.
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